BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FILED

Application of the City of Bakersfield for an order authorizing construction of a pedestrian-rail grade-separated crossing in the City of Bakersfield, County of Kern, California. 11/03/22 04:59 PM **A2211008**

Application	No.	

APPLICATION

The City of Bakersfield (Applicant) hereby respectfully requests authority from the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) to construct a new public grade separation over the existing tracks of the BNSF Railway, Bakersfield Subdivision line, in the City of Bakersfield. The grade-separated rail crossing would be used by pedestrians and cyclists and is part of the Bakersfield Multi-Use Trail project along the Friant-Kern Canal.

In support of this application, the Applicant asserts that:

- The Applicant's exact legal name is the City of Bakersfield, a political subdivision of the State of California.
- 2. The Applicant's principal place of business is the City of Bakersfield, 1501 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield, CA 93301. The main telephone number is (661) 326-3700.
- 3. All correspondence, communication, notices, orders, and other papers related to this application should be addressed to Gregg Strakaluse, Director of Public Works, at the above address and the email address of gstrakaluse@bakersfieldcity.us. Mr. Strakaluse is an employee of the city and the Applicant's authorized agent.
- 4. The proposed overhead grade separation, the Friant-Kern Pedestrian Overpass, is part of a 6-mile multi-use trail along the Friant-Kern Canal, extending from the Kern River Channel near the intersection of Coffee Road and Truxtun Avenue to

- the northerly city limits at Seventh Standard Road. The multi-use trail and grade separation would provide for the safe movement of pedestrians and cyclists between central and northern Bakersfield.
- This application, and the relief sought herein, is filed pursuant to 1201-1205, inclusive, of the Public Utilities Code and in accordance with Rule 3.7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.
- 6. The City of Bakersfield, in cooperation with the Bureau of Reclamation, proposes to construct a paved 6-mile-long multi-use trail adjacent to the Friant-Kern Canal and service road embankment within the United States Bureau of Reclamation's right-of-way. The trail will be at grade with the exception of an underpass at a golf cart crossing and the Friant-Kern Pedestrian Overpass, the proposed railroad overhead grade separation. The proposed CPUC crossing number and DOT crossing number for the Friant-Kern Pedestrian Overpass are 002-891.40-AD and 981083X, respectively.
- 7. The legal description for the location of the proposed grade separation (Rule 3.7(a)) is provided in Exhibit A, attached hereto and made a part hereof.
- 8. The crossing identification numbers of the nearest existing public crossings on each side of the proposed crossing (Rule 3.7(b)) are as follows: the nearest existing public crossing to the west is the BNSF mainline crossing at Coffee Road (CPUC No. 002-891.70-A and DOT No. 028372Y) and to the east is the BNSF mainline crossing at Mohawk Street (CPUC No. 002-890.10 and DOT No. 028367C). Both crossings are grade-separated (roadway above mainline tracks) and located in the City of Bakersfield.
- 9. Drawings of the proposed grade separation, which include plan and profile drawings of the multi-use trail and railroad, typical sections, and vicinity and location maps (Rule 3.7(d), 3.7(e) and 3.7(f)), are provided in Exhibits B1 through B4, attached hereto and made a part hereof. The bridge will be a prefabricated steel structure supported by steel tower abutments located outside the railroad right-of-way. For construction, no temporary reduced clearances are requested. Vertical and horizontal clearances are provided in Exhibit B4.

- 10. In support of the proposed overhead grade separation crossing over the BNSF Railway tracks, the cover pages and signature pages of the Construction and Maintenance Agreement between the BNSF Railway and the City of Bakersfield and the License Agreement between the Bureau of Reclamation and the City of Bakersfield, are provided in Exhibits C1 and C2, respectively, attached hereto and made a part hereof.
- 11. The Bureau of Reclamation, as the lead agency under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), prepared a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), which was approved on July 18, 2018. The City of Bakersfield, as the lead agency under California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), prepared a Mitigated Negative Declaration, which was adopted on November 10, 2021, as Resolution No. 55-21. The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) District 6 prepared a NEPA/CEQA Re-Validation on March 16, 2022. These environmental documents are provided in Exhibits D1 through D3, attached hereto and made a part hereof.
- 12. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is the City's Environmental and Social Justice Statement for this application.
- 13. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is the Scoping Memo for this application.
- 14. Attached hereto as Exhibit G. is the Verification for this application.

WHEREFORE, the Applicant respectfully requests:

- That the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California issue an Order authorizing construction of the Friant-Kern Pedestrian Overpass over the BNSF Railway Bakersfield Subdivision mainline pursuant to the provisions of Sections 1201-1205, inclusive of the California Public Utilities Code.
- 2. That the Order provides thirty-six (36) months from the date of such an Order within which to complete the construction work requested.

Signed _

GREGG STRAKALUSE Public Works Director City of Bakersfield 1501 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

Telephone: (661) 326-3700

Email: gstrakaluse@bakersfieldcity.us

List of Exhibits

Exhibit A	Legal De	escription		
Exhibit B	Project I	Drawings		
		Vicinity Map Location Map Plan and Profile: Multi-Use Trail Plan and Profile: BNSF Crossing		
Exhibit C	Project S	Project Support		
	C1	Cover and Signature Pages of the Construction and Maintenance Agreement		
	C2	Cover and Signature Pages of the Bureau of Reclamation License Agreement		
Exhibit D	Environ	mental Documents		
	D1 D2 D3	0		
Exhibit E	Environ	mental and Social Justice Statement		
Exhibit F	Scoping	Memo		
Exhibit G	Verificat	ion		

Exhibit A Legal Description

EXHIBIT 'A'

LEGAL DESCRIPTION AERIAL EASEMENT AREA

BEING A PORTION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 28, TOWNSHIP 29 SOUTH, RANGE 27 EAST, MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO THE OFFICIAL PLAT ENTITLED "DEPENDENT RESURVEY, SUBDIVISION AND METES AND BOUNDS SURVEY" APPROVED JUNE 1, 2012, SAID PORTION BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN & SANTA FE RAILWAY RIGHT-OF-WAY, SAID POINT BEING MARKED BY MONUMENT "AP 10R" AS SHOWN ON SAID OFFICIAL PLAT, FROM WHICH POINT MONUMENT "AP 11R" BEARS SOUTH 00°15'18" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 35.10 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89°45'45" EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE A DISTANCE OF 249.52 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING:

COURSE 1)	THENCE NORTH 00°14'40" EAST A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID RAILWAY RIGHT-OF-WAY;
COURSE 2)	THENCE SOUTH 89°45'45" EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 20.00 FEET;

COURSE 3) THENCE SOUTH 00°14'40" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET TO SAID SOUTH LINE;

COURSE 4) THENCE NORTH 89°45'45" WEST A DISTANCE OF 20.00 FEET ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE A DISTANCE OF 20.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 2,000 SQUARE FEET, MORE OR LESS.

THIS DESCRIPTION WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECTION.

L.S. 9052


06/08/2022

BEING A PORTION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 28, TOWNSHIP 29 SOUTH, RANGE 27 EAST, MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO THE OFFICIAL PLAT ENTITLED "DEPENDENT RESURVEY, SUBDIVISION AND METES-AND-BOUNDS SURVEY" APPROVED JUNE 1, 2012. 2.5" BUREAU OF -LAND MANAGEMENT FRIANT-KERN CANAL APN MONUMENT "AP 17L" 368-070-06 NORTHERLY RAILWAY RIGHT-OF-WAY APN 368-040-28 BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY 9 POINT OF COMMENCING 2.5" BUREAU OF LAND AERIAL EASEMENT AREA MANAGEMENT MONUMENT "AP 10R" ±2,000 SQUARE FEET SOUTHERLY RAILWAY RIGHT-OF-WAY S89'45'45"E 249.52 30015'18" 35.10' 2.5" BUREAU OF LAND APN MANAGEMENT MONUMENT 368-070-06 POINT OF BEGINNING "AP 11R" BASIS OF BEARING THE LINE BETWEEN MONUMENTS "AP 10R" AND "AP 11R" WAS TAKEN AS SOUTH 0015'18" WEST PER THE OFFICIAL PLAT ENTITLED "DEPENDENT RESURVEY, SUBDIVISION AND METES AND BOUNDS SURVEY" APPROVED JUNE 1, 2012, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT. APN FRIANT-KERN CANAL 368-040-02 LINE BEARING DISTANCE NORTH L1 S89'45'45"E 20.00 100' L2 S89'45'45"E 10.03 L3 N89°45'45"W 20.00 SCALE: 1" = 50'EXHIBIT "B" PLAT TO ACCOMPANY DESCRIPTION SMITHCO SURVEYING ENGINEERING AERIAL EASEMENT DEDICATION BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 28, TOWNSHIP 29 SOUTH. P.O. BOX 81626 RANGE 27 EAST, MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN BAKERSFIELD, CA 93380 CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA PHONE: (661) 393-1217 FAX: (661) 393-1218 DRAWN BY: J.BAIZA DATE: 05-16-2022 JOB NO.: 17-100

Exhibit B Project Drawings

B1	Vicinity Map
B2	Location Map
ВЗ	Plan and Profile: Multi-Use Trail
R4	Plan and Profile: BNSF Crossing

EXHIBIT B1




VICINITY MAP

1'-8000'










Exhibit C Project Support

- C1 Cover and Signature Pages of the Construction and Maintenance Agreement
- C2 Cover and Signature Pages of the Bureau of Reclamation License Agreement

Exhibit C1

Cover and Signature Pages of the Construction and Maintenance Agreement



Contract Number: BF-20195361

OVERPASS AGREEMENT

BNSF File No.: BF-20195361 Mile Post 891.40 Line Segment 7200 U.S. DOT Number Pending Bakersfield Subdivision

This Agreement ("Agreement"), is executed to be effective as of AUG 1 7 2022 ("Effective Date"), by and between BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY, a Delaware corporation ("BNSF") and the CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, a political subdivision of the State of CA ("Agency").

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, BNSF owns and operates a line of railroad in and through the City of Bakersfield, State of CA; and

WHEREAS, Agency desires to construct a new crossing at separated grades to be known as the Friant-Kern Multiuse Pathway Overpass, D.O.T. No. .

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements of the parties contained herein, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

ARTICLE I) SCOPE OF WORK

1) The term "Project" as used herein includes any and all work related to the construction of the proposed Friant-Kern Multiuse Pathway Overpass (hereinafter referred to as the "Structure"), more particularly described on the Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein, including, but not limited to, any and all changes to telephone, telegraph, signal and electrical lines and appurtenances, temporary and permanent track work, fencing, grading, alterations to or new construction of drainage facilities, preliminary and construction engineering and contract preparation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed as of the Effective Date.

"CITY" "AGENCY" CITY OF BAKERSFIELD **BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY** KAREN GOH Printed Name: French Thompson, III Title: General Director Public Infrastructure and Investments Mayor APPROVED AS TO CONTENT: **PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT GREGG STRAKALUSE** Public Works Director APPROVED AS TO FORM: **VIRGINIA GENNARO** City Attorney JOSHUA RUDNICK Deputy City Attorney II insurance: Countersigned:

RANDY MCKEEG Finance Director

Exhibit C2

Cover and Signature Pages of the Bureau of Reclamation License Agreement

CVP-0214 2.2.3.15 Index No. 2-5, 7-9, 12, 14-16, 18-20/ Unit No. 458-461, 462-464, 468, 470-ATSF-9, 472-474 LND-14-32 Contract No. 17-LC-20-1078

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

LICENSE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE OF A MULTI-USE PATH ON RECLAMATION ACQUIRED LAND

Friant-Kern Canal, Friant Division, Central Valley Project

THIS LICENSE, given this 22nd day of September, 2022, pursuant to the Reclamation Act of 1902, dated June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388), and all other acts amendatory or supplementary thereto, including but not limited to Section 10(b) of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939, as amended, is entered into, by and between THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (United States), acting by and through its Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), by the duly authorized officer executing this License, to:

CITY OF BAKERSFIELD 1600 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, California 93301 Telephone: (661) 326-3767

hereinafter styled the "Licensee".

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, Reclamation acquired certain lands for the Friant-Kern Canal (FKC) in connection with the Central Valley Project (CVP), Kern County, California; and

WHEREAS, the Friant Water Authority (FWA) is responsible for the operation and maintenance (O&M) of the FKC, pursuant to the "Agreement to Transfer the Operation, Maintenance, and Replacement and Certain Financial and Administrative Activities Related to the Friant-Kern Canal and Associated Works" (Contract No. 8-07-20-X0356), as amended; and

IN WITNESS WHEREOF this License is given as of the date first written above.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

MICHAEL

Digitally signed by MICHAEL JACKSON

Date: 2022.09.22 12:46:56

JACKSON Date: 2 07'00' MICHAEL P. JACKSON

Area Manager

South-Central California Area Office

Bureau of Reclamation

The City of Bakersfield, and its authorized representatives, by signature below, agree to the terms and conditions above.

NOTED

CHRIS MICKERNELI

General Superintendent

Friant Water Authority

By:

KAREN GOH

City Mayor

APPROVED as to form:

VIRGINIA GENNARO

City Att rney

By:

JOSPIUÁ H. RUDNICK

Deputy City Attorney

Insurance:

APPROVED as to content:

By:

GREGG STRAKALUSE

City Public Works Director

COUNTERSIONED:

Bv:

RANDY MCKEECAN

City Finance Director

Exhibit D Environmental Documents

D1	Finding of No Significant Impact
D2	Mitigated Negative Declaration
D3	NEPA/CEOA Re-Validation

Exhibit D1

Finding of No Significant Impact



FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

License for Bakersfield Multi-Use Trail along the Friant-Kern Canal

FONSI-15-061



Mission Statements

The Department of the Interior protects and manages the Nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provides scientific and other information about those resources; and honors its trust responsibilities or special commitments to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated island communities.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION South-Central California Area Office, Fresno, California

FONSI-15-061

Fo-

License for Bakersfield Multi-Use Trail along the Friant-Kern Canal

Kate Conno	7/18/2018
Prepared by: Kate Connor	Date
Natural Resources Specialist	, /
Concurred by: Rain L. Emerson	07/18/2018 Date
Environmental Compliance Branch Chief	. /
Thurst I	7/18/2018
Approved by: Michael P. Jackson, P.E.	Date /
Area Manager	

Introduction

In accordance with section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) has prepared this Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) which is supported by Reclamation's Environmental Assessment (EA)-15-061, *License for Bakersfield Multi-Use Trail along the Friant-Kern Canal*, hereby incorporated by reference.

Background

The Kern River Parkway (Parkway), a natural preserve in Bakersfield, California, runs from the mouth of the Kern River to Interstate 5. The Parkway has three trails; an equestrian trail north of the river, a jogging trail south of the river, and a paved multi-use path called the Parkway Trail. The Parkway Trail is a multi-use path that runs east west approximately 22 miles from Enos Lane to China Grade Loop. All but three miles are located within Bakersfield City limits. The Parkway is a major destination in Bakersfield, featuring rest stops with seating, shade, and educational signage placed periodically along the trail. There are multiple points along the trail that connect to sidewalks leading to parks, commercial centers, and schools.

The City of Bakersfield (City) has requested Reclamation's approval, to construct and maintain the 6 mile Friant-Kern Canal multi-use path which would connect to the Parkway Trail.

Alternatives Considered

No Action

Under the No Action Alternative, Reclamation would not issue a perpetual land use authorization to the City, which would allow for the construction and maintenance of a 6-mile long multiuse path.

Proposed Action

Reclamation will issue a 25-year land use authorization to the City for the construction and maintenance of a 6-mile long multi-use path along the Friant-Kern Canal that would connect to the Parkway Trail. The Project would be constructed over 3 phases as described in Section 2.2 of EA-15-061.

Environmental Commitments

The City shall implement the environmental protection measures listed in Table 1 of EA-15-061 to avoid environmental consequences associated with the Proposed Action. Environmental consequences for resource areas assume the measures specified would be fully implemented.

Comments on the EA

Reclamation provided the public with an opportunity to comment on the Draft FONSI and Draft EA between July 2, 2018 and July 16, 2017. One comment was received from the Friant Water Authority which is included in Appendix B of EA-15-061.

As noted in the comment letter, the Friant Water Authority did not have any comments on the substance of the environmental findings or determination in the EA/FONSI but did request that Reclamation revise the project description based on the proposed terms of the proposed license that they will be signatory to as Reclamation's operating entity. Reclamation has considered the comment and revised the project description accordingly. The revisions are noted by lines in the left margin of the Final EA. These changes have not changed the analysis contained in EA-15-061.

Findings

In accordance with NEPA, Reclamation has determined that the approval of the Proposed Action is not a major federal action that will significantly affect the quality of the human environment; consequently, an environmental impact statement is not required.

The following reasons are why the impacts from the proposed action are not significant:

- The proposed action will not significantly affect public health or safety (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(2)).
- The proposed action will not significantly affect natural resources and unique geographical characteristics such as proximity to historic or cultural resources; parks, recreation, and refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order (EO) 11990); flood plains (EO 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(3) and 43 CFR 46.215(b)).
- There is no potential for the effects to be considered highly controversial (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(4)).
- The proposed action will not have possible effects on the human environment that are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(5)).
- The proposed action will neither establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects nor represent a decision in principle about a future consideration (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(6)).
- The proposed action will not have cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)).
- The proposed action will not significantly affect historic properties (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(8)).

- The proposed action will not significantly affect listed or proposed threatened or endangered species, or its habitat that has been determined to be critical under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(9)).
- The proposed action will not threaten a violation of Federal, State, tribal or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(10)).
- The proposed action will not affect any Indian Trust Assets (512 DM 2, Policy Memorandum dated December 15, 1993).
- Implementing the proposed action will not disproportionately affect minorities or lowincome populations and communities (EO 12898).
- The proposed action will not limit access to, and ceremonial use of, Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 13007 and 512 DM 3).



Final Environmental Assessment

License for Bakersfield Multi-Use Trail along the Friant-Kern Canal

EA-15-061



Mission Statements

The Department of the Interior protects and manages the Nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provides scientific and other information about those resources; and honors its trust responsibilities or special commitments to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated island communities.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

Contents

	Page
Section 1 Introduction	3
1.1 Background	
1.2 Need for the Proposed Action	
Section 2 Alternatives Including the Proposed Action	
2.1 No Action Alternative	
2.2 Proposed Action	
2.2.1 Environmental Commitments	
Section 3 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences	
3.1 Resources Eliminated from Further Analysis	
3.2 Air Quality	
3.2.1 Affected Environment	
3.2.2 Environmental Consequences	
No Action	
Proposed Action	
Cumulative Impacts	
3.3 Biological Resources	
3.3.1 Affected Environment	
3.3.2 Environmental Consequences	
3.4 Cultural Resources	
3.4.1 Affected Environment	19
3.4.2 Environmental Consequences	20
3.5 Recreation	
3.5.1 Affected Environment	20
3.5.2 Environmental Consequences	21
3.6 Global Climate Change	21
3.6.1 Affected Environment	21
3.6.2 Environmental Consequences	
No Action	22
Proposed Action	22
Cumulative Impacts	22
3.7 Water Resources	
3.7.1 Affected Environment	
3.7.2 Environmental Consequences	
No Action	
Proposed Action	22
Cumulative Impacts	
Section 4 Consultation and Coordination	
4.1 Public Review Period.	
4.2 List of Agencies and Persons Consulted	
Section 5 References	27
Figure 1 Proposed Action Area	4

Final EA-15-061

Figure 2 Phase	e 1 of multi-use path	6
Figure 3 Phase	e 2 of multi-use path	7
	e 3 of multi-use path	
Table 1 Resou	rce Protection Measures	10
Table 2 Resou	rces Eliminated from Further Analysis	11
Table 4 Estim	ated Emissions due to Construction of the Proposed Action	13
Table 3 Feder	ally Threatened and Endangered Species	14
Appendix A Appendix B	Cultural Resources Determination Comment	

Section 1 Introduction

The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) provided the public with an opportunity to comment on the Draft Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) and Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) between July 2, 2018 and July 16, 2017. Changes between this Final EA and the Draft EA, which are not minor editorial changes, are indicated by vertical lines in the left margin of this document.

1.1 Background

The Kern River Parkway (Parkway), a natural preserve in Bakersfield, California, runs from the mouth of the Kern River to Interstate 5. The Parkway has three trails; an equestrian trail north of the river, a jogging trail south of the river, and a paved multi-use path called the Parkway Trail. The Parkway Trail is a multi-use path that runs east west approximately 22 miles from Enos Lane to China Grade Loop. All but three miles are located within Bakersfield City limits. The Parkway is a major destination in Bakersfield, featuring rest stops with seating, shade, and educational signage placed periodically along the trail. There are multiple points along the trail that connect to sidewalks leading to parks, commercial centers, and schools.

The City of Bakersfield (City) has requested Reclamation's approval, to construct and maintain the 6-mile Friant-Kern Canal multi-use path (multi-use path) which would connect to the Parkway Trail.

1.2 Need for the Proposed Action

The City desires to construct a 6-mile multi-use path along the Friant-Kern Canal, from Seventh Standard Road to the Parkway Trail along the Kern River. The proposed multi-use path would run north south connecting a large portion of northwest Bakersfield to the Parkway (Figure 1). The multi-use path would serve as a means for alternative transportation, recreational purposes, as well as, interconnect to community parks, schools, centers, retail centers, and the Parkway. Construction of the multi-use path would increase pedestrian safety, promote active modes of transportation, and reduce traffic congestion.




Figure 1 Proposed Action Area

Section 2 Alternatives Including the Proposed Action

This EA considers two possible actions: the No Action Alternative and the Proposed Action. The No Action Alternative reflects future conditions without the Proposed Action and serves as a basis of comparison for determining potential effects to the human environment.

2.1 No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, Reclamation would not issue a 25 year land use authorization to the City, for the construction and maintenance of a 6-mile long multi-use path from the Kern River Channel to Seventh Standard Road.

2.2 Proposed Action

Reclamation proposes to issue a 25 year land use authorization to the City for the construction and maintenance of a 6-mile long multi-use path along the Friant-Kern Canal that would connect to the Parkway Trail.

The City has proposed to construct the multi-use path over the following three phases:

- Phase 1 would include the construction of an approximately 4-mile long multi-use path along the Friant-Kern Canal from Snow Road south to Coffee Road. Two overpasses and one pedestrian bridge would be installed over the Friant-Kern Canal during this Phase (Figure 2).
- Phase 2 would include the construction of a 1-mile long multi-use path from the northern edge of Phase 1 north to Seventh Standard Road. A single overpass would be installed over the Friant-Kern Canal during this section of the multi-use path (Figure 3).
- Phase 3 would include the construction of a 1.9-mile multi-use path from the southern edge of Phase 1 south to the Kern River. A culvert would be installed under the existing railroad tracks and an equestrian bridge would be installed over an unlined portion of the Friant-Kern Canal during this Phase (Figure 4).




Figure 2 Phase 1 of multi-use path




Figure 3 Phase 2 of multi-use path




Figure 4 Phase 3 of multi-use path

Specific construction details for the three Phases are included below. It should be noted that the City currently only has plans for Phase 1; however, actions taken in Phase 2 and Phase 3 will be similar to those taken in Phase 1. Any changes or additional construction, operation, or maintenance outside of those described below would require additional environmental review and approval from Reclamation.

The multi-use path would be 12 feet wide and made of asphalt pavement. Dirt shoulders along the multi-use path would be 4 feet wide. Grading depths would not exceed 1 foot.

The City would install standard chain link fencing along both sides of the path boundaries to restrict access to the Friant-Kern Canal. Existing chain link fence would be utilized where possible. Where new fencing is needed, a 9 inch by 12 inch concrete curb would be constructed along the fence line and 6 foot tall chain-link fencing would be installed. Posts would be installed every 8 feet in 10 inch diameter holes dug 3 feet deep. The holes would be filled with concrete to secure the fence posts.

Concrete bridges would be constructed over existing Friant-Kern Canal outlet structures. Concrete bridge locations have been identified, however construction details shall be determined during the design phase of this project.

A 40-foot long, 10-foot wide pedestrian bridge would be installed over the Friant-Kern Canal. Pedestrian bridge locations have been identified, however construction details shall be determined during the design phase of this project.

Pre-fabricated overpasses would be installed over the Friant-Kern Canal. The overpasses would be 9 feet by 19 feet. Bollards requiring 12 inch in diameter by 3 feet deep holes and curb ramps would be installed at all of the bridges. The City would modify their existing gates as entry points and exits to the multi-use path. The pre-fabricated overpass locations have been identified, however construction details shall be determined during the design phase of this project.

Existing crossings at arterial streets would be used by those travelling along the multi-use path. Infrastructure at traffic signals would be altered by the City to accommodate safe crossing. Push buttons and pedestrian heads would be installed at existing poles. No ground disturbance would be required for these activities.

Appropriate striping, pavement markings, and associated signage would be installed by the City. Mile markers would be installed approximately every mile along the path. The mile markers would be located outside of Reclamation rights-of-way at the entrances to the Friant-Kern Canal. The City plans to mount mile markers on existing poles where possible.

2.2.1 Environmental Commitments

The City of Bakersfield must implement the following environmental protection measures to avoid environmental consequences associated with the Proposed Action (Table 1).

Table 1 Resource Protection Measures

Resource	Protection Measure
Biological Resources	In order to avoid impacts to nesting Swainson's hawks, construction activities shall occur, when possible, outside of the nesting season (defined as March 1- September 15).
Biological Resources	If construction activities must occur between March 1 and September 15, a qualified biologist shall conduct nest surveys for Swainson's hawks on and within a ½ mile of the Proposed Action Area in accordance with the <i>Recommended Timing and Methodology for Swainson's Hawk Nesting Surveys in California's Central Valley</i> (SHTAC, 2000). Three nest surveys shall be conducted in each of two survey periods, with the survey periods defined as follows: Period I – January 1 to March 20, Period II – March 20 to April 5, Period III – April 5 to April 20, Period IV – April 21 to June 10, and Period V – June 10 to July 30. Surveys shall take place in the two survey periods immediately prior to the start of construction, with the exception of Period III, when no surveys should take place per the SHTAC 2000 guidelines. The surveys shall consist of inspecting all accessible, suitable trees in the survey area for the presence of nests and hawks.
Biological Resources	If any active Swainson's hawk nests are discovered within the survey area, an appropriate disturbance-free buffer shall be established based on local conditions and Service guidelines. Disturbance-free buffers shall be identified on the ground with flagging, fencing, or by other easily visible means, and shall be maintained until a qualified biologist has determined that the young have fledged and are capable of foraging independently.
Biological Resources	Before the start of any ground-disturbing activities associated with the Proposed Action, a qualified biologist shall conduct focused surveys for burrowing owls in areas of suitable habitat on and within 250 feet of the project footprint. A letter report documenting survey methods and findings shall be submitted to Reclamation at least 5 days before the start of construction on the Proposed Action.
Biological Resources	If occupied burrowing owl burrows are found, Reclamation shall be notified and work on the Proposed Action shall not begin until a qualified biologist has established a non-disturbance buffer of 160 feet during the non-breeding season (September 1 through January 31) or 250 feet during the breeding season (February 1 through August 31). The biologist shall remain on-site during construction to monitor the burrow, and may stop construction at any time to avoid impacts to the owls. The buffer shall remain in place until the biologist determines the nest is no longer active.
Biological Resources	If a burrowing owl is observed at the construction site at any time during construction, a temporary non-disturbance buffer of approximately 160 feet shall be observed to establish a safe area for the animal until it leaves the construction area at its own volition.
Biological Resources	The proponent shall comply with all of the requirements of the Metropolitan Bakersfield Habitat Conservation Plan and the associated Section 10(a)(1)(B) Incidental Take Permit PRT-786634, including implementation of conservation measures, reasonable and prudent measures, and terms and conditions.
Water Resources	They City shall obtain and comply with all measures in the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System General Permit, develop a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, and implement Best Management Practices.

Environmental consequences for resource areas assume the measures specified would be fully implemented. Copies of all reports and permits shall be submitted to Reclamation prior to construction.

Section 3 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

This section identifies the potentially affected environment and the environmental consequences involved with the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative, in addition to environmental trends and conditions that currently exist.

3.1 Resources Eliminated from Further Analysis

Reclamation analyzed the affected environment and determined that the Proposed Action did not have the potential to cause direct, indirect, or cumulative adverse effects to the resources listed in Table 2.

Table 2 Resources Eliminated from Further Analysis

Resource	Reason Eliminated
Indian Sacred Sites	The Proposed Action would not limit access to ceremonial use of Indian Sacred Sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites. Therefore, there would be no impacts to Indian Sacred Sites as a result of the Proposed Action.
Indian Trust Assets	The Proposed Action would not impact Indian Trust Assets as there are none in the Proposed Action area.

3.2 Air Quality

Section 176 (C) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7506 (C)) requires any entity of the federal government that engages in, supports, or in any way provides financial support for, licenses or permits, or approves any activity to demonstrate that the action conforms to the applicable State Implementation Plan required under Section 110 (a) of the Federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 [a]) before the action is otherwise approved. In this context, conformity means that such federal actions must be consistent with State Implementation Plan's purpose of eliminating or reducing the severity and number of violations of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards and achieving expeditious attainment of those standards. Each federal agency must determine that any action that is proposed by the agency and that is subject to the regulations implementing the conformity requirements would, in fact conform to the applicable State Implementation Plan before the action is taken.

On November 30, 1993, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated final general conformity regulations at 40 CFR 93 Subpart B for all federal activities except those covered under transportation conformity. The general conformity regulations apply to a proposed federal action in a non-attainment or maintenance area if the total of direct and indirect emissions of the relevant criteria pollutants and precursor pollutant caused by the Proposed Action equal or exceed certain *de minimis* amounts thus requiring the federal agency to make a determination of general conformity.

3.2.1 Affected Environment

The Proposed Action area lies within the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin and is under the jurisdiction of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (Air District). The pollutants of greatest concern in the San Joaquin Valley are carbon monoxide, ozone, ozone precursors such as reactive organic gases (ROG) or volatile organic compounds (VOC), inhalable particulate matter between 2.5 and 10 microns in diameter (PM₁₀) and particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter (PM_{2.5}). The San Joaquin Valley Air Basin has reached Federal and State attainment status for carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, and sulfur dioxide. Although Federal attainment status has been reached for PM₁₀, the State standard has not been met and both are in non-attainment for ozone and PM_{2.5} (San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District 2018). There are no established standards for nitrogen oxides (NO_x); however, they do contribute to nitrogen dioxide standards and ozone precursors (San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District 2018).

3.2.2 Environmental Consequences

No Action

Under the No Action Alternative, there would be no impact to regional air quality, as existing conditions would continue.

Proposed Action

There would be no operational emissions associated with the project; however, minimal short-term air quality impacts would occur associated with construction; generally arising from dust generation (fugitive dust) and operation of construction equipment. Fugitive dust results from land clearing, grading, excavation, concrete work, and vehicle traffic on paved and unpaved roads. Fugitive dust is a source of airborne particulates (PM) less than 10 microns, including PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. Large earth-moving equipment, trucks, and other mobile sources powered by diesel or gasoline are also sources of combustion emissions, including nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO₂), ROG, sulfur dioxide, and small amounts of air pollutants.

Construction of the Proposed Action would be accomplished with a small grader, skip loader, water truck, dump truck, paving machine, steel drum roller, pneumatic roller, end dump truck, and sheeps foot compactor. Construction of the Proposed Action would occur over a 31 day period.

Estimated air quality emissions for construction activities associated with the Proposed Action were calculated utilizing the South Coast Air Quality Management District's *EMFAC2007 Version 2.3* emission factors (2018). Annual estimated emissions can be found in Table 4 below.

Table 3 Estimated Emissions due to Construction of the Proposed Action

Source	Total Emission (Metric Tons per Year)						
	CO	ROG	NOx	SO _x	PM	CO ₂	
Construction emissions	.21	.03	.28	0	.01	50.70	
Operation emissions	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Emissions	.21	.03	.28	0	.01	50.70	
Conformity Thresholds (SJVAPCD)	100	50	50	0	100	2,500A	

 SO_x = sulfur oxides. CO_2 = carbon dioxide. CH_4 = methane. -- = not calculated. *As ozone precursors. Source: Rimpo & Associates, Inc. 2011; CARB 2011; SJVAPCD 2011; 40 CFR 93.153

Estimated emissions for construction and operation of the Facility are well below the *de minimis* thresholds established by the Air District; therefore, a conformity analysis is not required. In addition, the City and/or its contractor would employ best management practices to reduce fugitive dust emissions during ground disturbance. Consequently, the Proposed Action would not result in an adverse impact upon air quality.

Cumulative Impacts

Construction emissions for the Proposed Action are well below the *de minimis* thresholds established by the Air District and are expected to be temporary in duration. As a result, the Proposed Action is not expected to contribute to cumulative adverse impacts to air quality.

3.3 Biological Resources

3.3.1 Affected Environment

The Proposed Action Area consists primarily of bare ground along the Friant-Kern Canal levees and access roads. There is some weedy ruderal vegetation along the right-of-way fencing, and there is ornamental vegetation along portions of the Proposed Action Area that border existing commercial development and roads (McCormick 2016). Some non-native grassland and remnant Valley cottonwood riparian forest habitat is present in the segment of the bike trail that would be constructed along the Kern River corridor; however, this portion of the project is outside of Reclamation's right-of-way and is therefore not included in this analysis.

On July 5th, 2017 Reclamation requested an official species list from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) via the Service's website, http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac, (Consultation Code: 08ESMF00-2017-SLI-2521). The list covers the Proposed Action Area shown in Figure 1. The California Department of Fish and Wildlife's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) was also queried for records of protected species within the vicinity of the Proposed Action Area (CNDDB 2017). Biologists from McCormick Biological Inc. conducted reconnaissance-level surveys of the Proposed Action Area, and a surrounding buffer zone, on July 19th, 2016 and July 24th, 2016 (McCormick 2016).

The information collected above, in addition to information within Reclamation's files, was combined to determine the likelihood of protected species occurrence within the Proposed Action Area and is summarized in Table 3.

Table 4 Federally Threatened and Endangered Species

Species	Status ¹	Effects ²	Potential to occur and summary basis for ESA determination ³
Amphibians			
California red-legged frog Rana draytonii	Т, Х	NE	Absent. This species does not occur within the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable habitat. There is no Designated Critical Habitat for this species in the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would have No Effect on this species.
Birds			
Swainson's hawk Buteo swainsonii	МВТА	NT	Possible. No Swainson's hawk nests were observed during the reconnaissance-level surveys of the Proposed Action Area (McCormick 2016); however, the Proposed Action Area may provide suitable foraging habitat for this species and there are some large trees bordering the Action Area that may provide suitable nesting habitat for the species.
Burrowing owl Athene cunicularia	MBTA	NT	Possible. No burrowing owls, burrowing owl sign or burrowing owl nests were observed during the reconnaissance-level survey of the Proposed Action Area (McCormick 2016); however, there are records of this species near the Proposed Action Area, and the Proposed Action Area contains suitable foraging and denning habitat for this species.
Southwestern willow flycatcher Empidonax traillii extimus	E, X	NE	Absent. This species does not occur within the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable riparian habitat. There is no designated Critical Habitat for this species in the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would have No Effect on this species.
Yellow-billed cuckoo Coccyzus americanus	Т, Х	NE	Absent. This species does not occur within the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable riparian habitat. There is no designated or proposed Critical Habitat for this species in the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would have <i>No Effect</i> on this species.
Fish			
Delta smelt Hypomesus transpacificus	Т, Х	NE	Absent. This species does not occur within the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable aquatic habitat, and designated Critical Habitat for this species is not present in the Proposed Action Area. There would be <i>No Effect</i> to this species.
Invertebrates			
Vernal pool fairy shrimp Branchinecta lynchi	Т, Х	NE	Absent. This species does not occur within the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable vernal pool habitat. Designated Critical Habitat for this species is not present in the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would have <i>No Effect</i> to this species.
Mammals			
Buena Vista Lake Ornate shrew Sorex ornatus relictus	E, X	NE	Absent. This species does not occur in the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable habitat. There is no designated Critical Habitat for this species in the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would have No Effect on this species.

Species	Status ¹	Effects ²	Potential to occur and summary basis for ESA determination ³
Giant kangaroo rat Dipodomys ingens	E	NE	Absent. This species does not occur within the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would have <i>No Effect</i> on this species.
San Joaquin kit fox Vulpes macrotis mutica	E	NLAA	Present. This species is known to occur within the vicinity of the Proposed Action Area and kit fox dens were observed during the reconnaissance-level survey of the Proposed Action Area (McCormick 2016). This species is covered under the Metropolitan Bakersfield Habitat Conservation Plan (Bakersfield HCP). The Bakersfield HCP would be fully implemented; therefore any potential effects to this species would be minimized and mitigated by the HCP and have existing coverage under the Endangered Species Act.
Tipton kangaroo rat Dipodomys nitratoides nitratoides	E	NLAA	Possible. Kangaroo rat burrows were observed in the Proposed Action Area, near the Kern River, during the reconnaissance-level surveys; however, it is unknown whether the burrows were Tipton kangaroo rat burrows or burrows of another kangaroo rat species (McCormick 2016). This species is covered under the Bakersfield HCP. The Bakersfield HCP would be fully implemented; therefore any potential effects to this species would be minimized and mitigated by the HCP and have existing coverage under the Endangered Species Act.
Plants			
Bakersfield cactus Opuntia treleasei	E	NE	Absent. This species does not occur within the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable habitat. The Proposed Action would have <i>No Effect</i> on this species.
California jewelflower Caulanthus californicus	E	NE	Absent. This species was not observed during the reconnaissance-level survey of the Proposed Action Area and there are no extant records of this species near the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would have No Effect on this species.
Kern mallow Eremalche kernensis	E	NE	Absent. This species does not occur within the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would have <i>No Effect</i> on this species.
San Joaquin wooly-threads Monolopia congdonii	E	NE	Absent. This species was not observed during the reconnaissance-level survey of the Proposed Action Area. Suitable soils for this species occur near the portion of the proposed bike trial that would be constructed in the Kern River corridor (McCormick 2016); however this portion of the bike trail is outside of Reclamation's right-of-way and is therefore not included in this analysis.
Reptiles			
Blunt-nosed leopard lizard Gambelia sila	E	NE	Absent. This species does not occur within the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would have <i>No Effect</i> on this species.
Giant Garter Snake Thamnophis gigas	Т	NE	Absent. This species does not occur within the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would have <i>No Effect</i> on this species.

Status = Status of federally protected species protected under the ESA.
 E: Listed as Endangered
 MBTA: Migratory Bird Treaty Act protected species
 T: Listed as Threatened
 X: Critical Habitat has been designated for this species

2 Effects = Effect determination

NE: No Effect anticipated from the Proposed Action to federally listed species or designated critical habitat

NT: No Take of birds protected under the MBTA

NLAA: Proposed Action Not Likely to Adversely Affect federally listed species

3 Definition of Occurrence Indicators

Absent: Species not recorded in study area and suitable habitat absent.

Present: Species recorded in area and suitable habitat present.

Possible: Species recorded in area and habitat suboptimal.

There is no Critical Habitat in the Proposed Action Area. Several of the special-status species named on the official species last have no potential to occur within the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable habitat, and would therefore not be affected by the Proposed Action. Federally protected species with some potential to occur in or near the Proposed Action Area include Swainson's hawk, burrowing owl, San Joaquin kit fox, and Tipton kangaroo rat.

Swainson's Hawk

The Swainson's hawk is a federal species of concern and is protected under the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). They are found in the grasslands and agricultural lands of California's Central Valley in spring and summer. Swainson's hawks exhibit a high degree of nest site fidelity and usually construct nests in large trees including Fremont cottonwood, willow, and mature oak trees (Bloom 1980). This species spends large amounts of time soaring over grasslands and agricultural fields in the Central Valley and can travel up to 18 miles to forage for prey. Swainson's hawks prey on small mammals, insects, and birds. They have adapted to use certain croplands, including alfalfa, grain, tomatoes, beets and other row crops, for foraging (Estep 1989).

Burrowing Owl

The burrowing owl is a federal species of concern and is protected under the MBTA. Their diet consists of small mammals, birds, amphibians, invertebrates and insects. Burrowing owls forage in pastures, croplands, and areas with sparse vegetation. They nest in mammal burrows or natural cavities. The primary reason for the species decline is habitat loss and degradation. The use of pesticides in agricultural areas also contributes to the decline of burrowing owls by reducing burrowing mammal populations and potentially poisoning the owls (Klute et al. 2003).

San Joaquin Kit Fox

The San Joaquin kit fox is federally listed as an endangered species. Their diet varies based on prey availability, and includes small to mid-sized mammals, ground-nesting birds, and insects. Kit foxes generally live in arid, relatively flat annual grassland and saltbush scrub habitats, but they are also found in urban areas like parks and golf courses. Kit foxes excavate their own dens or could use other animal and human-made structures (culverts, abandoned pipelines, and banks in sumps or roadbeds). Primary reasons for the species decline include loss and degradation of habitat (Service 2010).

Tipton Kangaroo Rat

The Tipton kangaroo rat is federally listed as an endangered species. Their diet consists primarily of seeds, and includes some small amount of green vegetation and insects when available. Tipton kangaroo rats live in arid, relatively flat areas with sparse or low-growing vegetation in the Tulare Basin on the Valley Floor that are not subject to flooding, such as Valley Sink Scrub or Valley Saltbush Scrub communities. Tipton kangaroo rats excavate and occupy

burrows in slightly elevated mounds or in other areas that are higher than the surrounding terrain (canal embankments, bases of shrubs, fence edges, etc.). The primary reason for the species decline is habitat loss from conversion of suitable habitat to agricultural land (Service 1998).

3.3.2 Environmental Consequences

No Action

Under the No Action alternative, Reclamation would not allow the City to construct a multi-use recreational trail along the Friant-Kern Canal. Because conditions would remain unchanged from current conditions, there would be no new impacts to biological resources.

Proposed Action

Potential effects from the Proposed Action are discussed below in further detail for each special-status species with the potential to occur in the Proposed Action Area.

Migratory Birds

There are 3 CNDDB-recorded occurrences of Swainson's hawks within 10 miles of the Proposed Action Area (CNDDB 2017). No Swainson's hawks or Swainson's hawk nests were observed during the reconnaissance-level survey of the Proposed Action Area (McCormick 2016); however, there are some large ornamental trees bordering portions of the Proposed Action Area that may provide marginally suitable nesting habitat for Swainson's hawks, and there are multiple ground squirrels in the Proposed Action Area which would provide suitable prey for this species.

If construction occurs within 0.25 miles of an active Swainson's hawk nest during the critical phases of the species nesting cycle (March 1- September 15) it may result in nest abandonment or failure (CDFG 1994). To insure that the Proposed Action does not cause any take of Swainson's hawks, if work on the Proposed Action is scheduled to occur between March 1st and September 15th, a qualified biologist would survey areas of suitable nesting habitat within 0.5 miles of the Proposed Action Area for active Swainson's hawk nests. If an active Swainson's hawk nest is found, an appropriate disturbance-free buffer shall be established until a qualified biologist determines that the young have fledged and are capable of foraging independently.

There are 9 CNDDB-recorded occurrences of burrowing owls within 5 miles of the Proposed Action Area (CNDDB 2017). No burrowing owls, burrowing owl burrows, or burrowing owl sign were observed during the reconnaissance-level survey of the Proposed Action Area (McCormick 2016). The Proposed Action Area consists of flat areas of bare soil with multiple ground squirrel burrows which may provide suitable nesting and/or foraging habitat for burrowing owls. Although burrowing owls were not observed during the survey, there is a potential for them to forage or den within the Proposed Action Area.

If burrowing owls are present within the Proposed Action Area during construction, the Proposed Action may displace or disturb the burrowing owls and could result in nest failure. Surveys for burrowing owls would be conducted prior to the start of construction on the Proposed Action. If burrowing owls and/or burrowing owl burrows are found during the survey, avoidance measures would be implemented in order to avoid take of burrowing owls during the construction phase of the Proposed Action.

Once construction is complete the Proposed Action Area would become a recreational bike trail and would be subjected to increased human disturbance. The Proposed Action Area is already subjected to some human disturbance from routine maintenance activities along the Friant-Kern Canal, homeless people living along the Friant-Kern Canal, and from adjacent urban development; so Swainson's hawks and/or burrowing owls nesting in or near the Proposed Action Area would likely already be somewhat accustomed to human presence. Ground squirrels are expected to remain along the margins of the bike trail, and would continue to provide suitable prey for both species.

With the implementation of the provided avoidance measures, Reclamation has determined that there would be *No Take* of Swainson's hawks or burrowing owls.

San Joaquin Kit Fox

There are multiple CNDDB records of San Joaquin kit foxes in and near the Proposed Action Area. San Joaquin kit foxes are known to occur along the proposed multi-use path, and 23 known kit fox dens were observed during the July 2016 reconnaissance-level survey of the Proposed Action Area (McCormick 2016).

San Joaquin kit foxes may be affected by the construction of the proposed multi-use path; however, the Proposed Action would be completed under the Bakersfield Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) and its accompanying Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(a)(1)(B) Incidental Take Permit PRT-786634, which provides take coverage for the San Joaquin kit fox. The Bakersfield HCP, and its associated implementing agreement and Incidental Take Permit, identify potential impacts to San Joaquin kit foxes that are likely to result from the Proposed Action and contain measures to minimize those anticipated impacts. All of the measures in the Bakersfield HCP, and the terms and conditions and reasonable and prudent measures in the Incidental Take Permit, would be fully implemented. With the implementation of the Bakersfield HCP Reclamation has determined, and the Service confirmed, that there is existing ESA coverage for potential effects to the San Joaquin kit fox (Leeman 2016).

Tipton Kangaroo Rat

There are 4 CNDDB-recorded occurrences of Tipton kangaroo rats within 5 miles of the Proposed Action Area (CNDDB 2017). Burrows indicative of kangaroo rats were observed in the portion of the Proposed Action Area near the Kern River; however, without small mammal trapping surveys it is unknown whether Tipton kangaroo rats are actually present in the Proposed Action Area or if the burrows belong to a non-federally listed species of kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys* spp.) (McCormick 2016).

Tipton kangaroo rats may be affected by the construction of the proposed multi-use path; however, the Tipton kangaroo rat is a species covered under the Bakersfield HCP and its associated Incidental Take Permit. The Bakersfield HCP, and its associated implementing agreement and Incidental Take Permit, identify potential impacts to Tipton kangaroo rats that are likely to result from the Proposed Action and contain measures to minimize those anticipated impacts. All of the measures in the Bakersfield HCP, and the terms and conditions and reasonable and prudent measures in the Incidental Take Permit, would be fully implemented. With the implementation of the Bakersfield HCP Reclamation has determined, and the Service

confirmed, that there is existing ESA coverage for potential effects to the Tipton kangaroo rat (Leeman 2017).

Cumulative Impacts

The Proposed Action would convert an approximately 6-mile long section of Friant-Kern Canal access road into a paved bike trail over three phases. The site is currently exposed to human disturbance from ongoing routine maintenance activities along the Friant-Kern Canal, adjacent urban development, and a population of homeless people. The Proposed Action is expected to result in increased human presence from recreational trail users in the Proposed Action Area once the project is complete. Although this project may affect the federally listed San Joaquin kit fox and Tipton kangaroo rat, it is not expected to result in any net habitat loss for these species, as an equivalent amount of habitat for these species would be acquired and protected under the Bakersfield HCP.

Reclamation is currently unaware of any future State or private activities planned for the Proposed Action Area. The land within the Proposed Action Area is federally owned by Reclamation; therefore, any future State or private activities proposed within the Action Area would undergo appropriate review in accordance with Section 7 of the ESA (16 U.S.C. §1531 et seq.).

3.4 Cultural Resources

Cultural resources is a broad term that includes prehistoric, historic, architectural, and traditional cultural properties. The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 is the primary Federal legislation that outlines the Federal Government's responsibility to cultural resources. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires the Federal Government to take into consideration the effects of an undertaking on cultural resources listed on or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register). Those resources that are on or eligible for inclusion in the National Register are referred to as historic properties.

The Section 106 process is outlined in the Federal regulations at 36 CFR Part 800. These regulations describe the process that the Federal agency (Reclamation) takes to identify cultural resources and the level of effect that the proposed undertaking will have on historic properties. In summary, Reclamation must first determine if the action is the type of action that has the potential to affect historic properties. If the action is the type of action to affect historic properties, Reclamation must identify the area of potential effects, determine if historic properties are present within that area of potential effects, determine the effect that the undertaking will have on historic properties, and consult with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), to seek concurrence on Reclamation's findings. In addition, Reclamation is required through the Section 106 process to consult with Indian Tribes concerning the identification of sites of religious or cultural significance, and consult with individuals or groups who are entitled to be consulting parties or have requested to be consulting parties.

3.4.1 Affected Environment

A records search of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center at the California State University, Bakersfield took place on April 19, 2018 with a 0.25 mile radius for previously located cultural resources and inventories in the Friant-Kern Canal right-of-way. The CHRIS search determined that two recorded sites are located within the study area, and include two historic period sites; the Friant-Kern Canal and the Santa Fe Railroad Minkler Spur.

Reclamation utilized the results of a reconnaissance-level inventory of the Friant-Kern Canal by JRP Historical Consulting, LLC, performed in 2017. The inventory identified the contributing elements of the Friant-Kern Canal within the study area. The railroad spur has been previously determined to be ineligible for listing in the National Register. The Friant-Kern Canal has been determined to be eligible for the National Register under a 1997 consensus determination by SHPO.

Reclamation initiated consultation with the SHPO on May 25, 2018 and requested concurrence on a finding that the Proposed Action would not affect any historic properties, pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.5(d)(1) (See Appendix A). Reclamation received concurrence from SHPO on the finding of no adverse effect to historic properties on June 19, 2018.

3.4.2 Environmental Consequences

No Action

Under the No Action alternative, Reclamation would not allow the City to construct a multi-use recreational trail along the Friant-Kern Canal. Because conditions would remain unchanged from current conditions, there would be no new impacts to Cultural Resources.

Proposed Action

The Proposed Action would convert an approximately 6-mile long section of Friant-Kern Canal access road into a paved bike trail over three phases. JRP Historical Consulting, LLC conducted a cultural resources inventory for the proposed project area and identified no cultural resources. Reclamation consulted with SHPO and a finding of no adverse effect to historic properties was found.

Cumulative Impacts

The Proposed Action would not contribute to any cumulative impacts to Cultural Resources.

3.5 Recreation

3.5.1 Affected Environment

The Parkway is a network of trails providing multiple recreation opportunities and access to commercial and non-commercial properties. The Parkway is maintained and managed by the City.

3.5.2 Environmental Consequences

No Action

Under the No Action alternative, Reclamation would not allow the City to construct a multi-use recreational trail along the Friant-Kern Canal. Because conditions would remain unchanged from current conditions, there would be no new impacts to recreation activities.

Proposed Action

Under the Proposed Action, the construction of a North to South connection to the Parkway would enhance public recreation activities. Visitors would have greater access to the natural preserve, as well as, the horseback riding, biking, jogging, and picnicking opportunities there.

Cumulative Impacts

The Proposed Action would allow the City to construct a 6-mile long section of the Friant-Kern Canal access road into multiuse path that would connect to the Parkway. Visitor access to the Parkway could be expected to increase due to the North South connection. The Proposed Action is expected to result in increased human presence from recreational trail users in the Proposed Action Area once the project is complete.

3.6 Global Climate Change

3.6.1 Affected Environment

Climate change refers to significant change in measures of climate (e.g., temperature, precipitation, or wind) lasting for decades or longer. Many environmental changes can contribute to climate change [changes in sun's intensity, changes in ocean circulation, deforestation, urbanization, burning fossil fuels, etc.] (EPA 2014a).

Gases that trap heat in the atmosphere are often called greenhouse gases. Some greenhouse gases, such as carbon dioxide (CO₂), occur naturally and are emitted to the atmosphere through natural processes and human activities. Other greenhouse gases (e.g., fluorinated gases) are created and emitted solely through human activities. The principal greenhouse gases that enter the atmosphere because of human activities are: CO₂, methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide, and fluorinated gasses (EPA 2014a).

During the past century humans have substantially added to the amount of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere by burning fossil fuels such as coal, natural gas, oil and gasoline to power our cars, factories, utilities and appliances. The added gases, primarily CO₂ and CH₄, are enhancing the natural greenhouse effect, and likely contributing to an increase in global average temperature and related climate changes. At present, there are uncertainties associated with the science of climate change (EPA 2014b).

Recently, the U.S. Global Research Program (USGRP) concluded in its Climate Science Special Report (2017) that "Many lines of evidence demonstrate that it is extremely likely that human influence has been the dominant cause of the observed warming since the mid-20th century." The USGRP also concludes that "Global climate is projected to continue to change over this

century and beyond. The magnitude of climate change beyond the next few decades will depend primarily on the amount of greenhouse (heat trapping) gases emitted globally and on the remaining uncertainty in the sensitivity of the Earth's climate to those emissions (very high confidence)."

Reclamation developed a global climate model in 2016 for the Sacramento and San Joaquin Basins. The model predicts increased temperatures, increased precipitation, increased runoff, and reduced snowpack at higher latitudes during the 21st century.

3.6.2 Environmental Consequences

No Action

If no action were taken, there would be no resultant greenhouse gases emissions. Current trends would be unaffected.

Proposed Action

Under the Proposed Action, there would be temporary and minor increase in greenhouse gases emissions (Table 4). Annual construction emissions of CO_{2e} are estimated to 50.70 metric tons per year, and are well below the 25,000 metric tons or more per year thresholds.

Cumulative Impacts

Although greenhouse gas emissions are considered cumulatively significant, the estimated annual carbon dioxide emissions required to construct the project (Table 5) is well below the 25,000 metric tons per year threshold for reporting greenhouse gas. As a result, the Proposed Action is not expected to contribute to cumulative adverse impacts to global climate change.

3.7 Water Resources

3.7.1 Affected Environment

The Friant-Kern Canal serves over 800,000 acres of farmland and communities in four counties. Water for the Friant Division is released from Millerton Lake into the 152-mile long Friant-Kern Canal flowing south to its terminus at the Kern River. The Friant-Kern Canal is an earthen and concrete-lined structure operated on behalf of Reclamation by the Friant Water Authority. The Friant-Kern Canal parallels the Proposed Action and extends underneath three proposed over passes and 2 proposed bridges of the Proposed Action (Figure 2).

3.7.2 Environmental Consequences

No Action

Under the No Action Alternative, Reclamation would not issue a 25 year land use authorization to the City, for the construction and maintenance of a 6-mile long multi-use path from the Kern River Channel to Seventh Standard Road.

Proposed Action

Potential short-term impacts to surface waters may occur during the installation of bridges and overpasses, mainly from exposure of loose soil during construction-related activities. Suspended

solids, dissolved solids, and organic pollutants may enter surface water bodies while soils are disturbed and dust is generated. In addition, construction activities have the potential to generate waste materials (concrete, metal, rubble, etc) or discharge pollutants to surface waters from construction wastes and fuel spills/leaks. The City would implement required erosion and pollutant control measures in compliance with the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System General Permit prior to commencement of construction in order to avoid these potential impacts. Control measures would include the development of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan and implementation of Best Management Practices, thereby, reducing the potential for adverse effects to water quality as a result of construction activities.

Cumulative Impacts

The Proposed Action includes project features, which avoid and/or reduce potential impacts associated with water quality, drainage, and flooding; therefore, the Proposed Action would not contribute to adverse cumulative water resources impacts.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Section 4 Consultation and Coordination

4.1 Public Review Period

Reclamation provided the public with an opportunity to comment on the Draft FONSI and Draft EA between July 2, 2018 and July 16, 2017. One comment was received from the Friant Water Authority which is included in Appendix B.

As noted in the comment letter, the Friant Water Authority did not have any comments on the substance of the environmental findings or determination in the EA/FONSI but did request that Reclamation revise the project description based on the proposed terms of the proposed license that they will be signatory to as Reclamation's operating entity. Reclamation has considered the comment and revised the project description accordingly as noted in Section 2.2. These changes have not changed the analysis contained in EA-15-061.

4.2 List of Agencies and Persons Consulted

Reclamation has consulted with the following regarding the Proposed Action:

- · The City of Bakersfield
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Section 5 References

Bloom, P.H. 1980. The Status of the Swainson's Hawk in California, 1979. Wildlife Management branch, Nongame Wildlife Investigations, Job II-8.0. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA.

CDFG (California Department of Fish and Game). 1994. Staff Report regarding Mitigation for Impacts to Swainson's Hawks (Buteo Swainsoni) in the Central Valley of California. The Resources Agency, Sacramento, CA.

CNDDB (California Natural Diversity Database). 2017. California Department of Fish and Wildlife's Natural Diversity Database, Version 3.1.1. RareFind 3. Last Updated July 2017.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2009. Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases, Final Rule (40 CFR Parts 86, 87, 89 et al.) *Federal Register*. 74(209): 56260-56519.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2014a. Climate Change – Basic Information. Website: http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/basicinfo.html.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2014b. Climate Change – Science. Website: http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/science/index.html.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2014c. Climate Change – Regulatory Initiatives. Website: http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/initiatives/index.html.

Estep, J.A. 1989. Biology, Movements, and Habitat Relationships of the Swainson's Hawk in the Central Valley of California, 1986-87. California Department of Fish and Game, Nongame Bird and Mammal Sec. Rep., 52 pp.

Klute, D.S., L.W. Ayers, M.T. Green, W.H. Howe, S.L. Jones, J.A. Shaffer, S.R. Sheffield, and T.S. Zimmerman. 2003. Status Assessment and Conservation Plan for the Western Burrowing owl in the United States. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Technical Publication FWS/BTP-R60001-2003, Washington, D.C.

Leeman, Thomas "Re: Request for Confirmation of Existing ESA (HCP) Coverage." Message to Lisa Buck. 2 December 2016. E-mail

McCormick (McCormick Biological Consulting Inc.) 2016. Biological Reconnaissance Survey Results Friant-Kern Canal Bike Path Project Kern County, California. Bakersfield, California.

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. 2018. Ambient Air Quality Standards and Valley Attainment Status. Website: http://www.valleyair.org/aqinfo/attainment.htm.

Service (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1998. Recovery Plan for Upland Species of the San Joaquin Valley, California. Portland, Oregon.

Service (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2010. San Joaquin kit fox (Vulpes macrotis mutica) 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. Sacramento, California.

Service (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) 2017. Official Species List, Information for Planning and Conservation (IPaC). Consultation Code: 08ESMF00-2017-SLI-2521, July 5, 2017.

SHTAC (Swainson's Hawk Technical Advisory Committee). 2000. Recommended Timing and Methodology for Swainson's Hawk Nesting Surveys in California's Central Valley. 5 pp.

South Coast Air Quality Management District. 2018. EMFAC 2007 (V2.3) Offroad – Mobile Source Emission Factors. Website: http://www.aqmd.gov/home/rules-compliance/ceqa/air-quality-analysis-handbook/off-road-mobile-source-emission-factors.

U.S. Global Research Program (USGRP). 2017. Executive summary. In: Climate Science Special Report: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume I [Wuebbles, D.J., D.W. Fahey, K.A. Hibbard, D.J. Dokken, B.C. Stewart, and T.K. Maycock (eds.)]. U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC. pp. 12-34. Website: https://science2017.globalchange.gov/chapter/executive-summary/

Attachment A: Cultural Resources Determination

CULTURAL RESOURCES COMPLIANCE Division of Environmental Affairs Cultural Resources Branch (MP-153)

MP-153 Tracking Number: 16-SCAO-123

Project Name: License for City of Bakersfield (City) Multi-Use Trail Along Friant Kern Canal

(FKC), Kern County California

NEPA Contact: Kate Connor, Natural Resource Specialist

EA Number: 15-061

MP 153 Cultural Resources Reviewer: Lex Palmer, Architectural Historian

Date: June 19, 2018

Reclamation is proposing to issue a perpetual land use authorization license to the City for the construction and maintenance of a 6-mile long Multi-Use Path along the Reclamation-owned FKC. The award of Federal funding constitutes an undertaking as defined in 36 CFR § 800.16(y) and is a type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties under 36 CFR § 800.3(a), requiring compliance under Title 54 USC § 306108, commonly known as Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) as amended.

Based on historic properties identification efforts conducted by Mid-Pacific Region cultural resources branch staff, Reclamation consulted with, and received concurrence from, the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) on a finding of no adverse effect to historic properties, pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.5(b). Consultation correspondence between Reclamation and the SHPO has been provided with this cultural resources compliance document for inclusion in the administrative record for this action.

This document serves as notification that Section 106 compliance has been completed for this undertaking. Please note that if project activities subsequently change, additional NHPA Section 106 review, including further consultation with the SHPO, may be required.

Attachments:

Letter: Reclamation to SHPO dated May 25, 2018 Letter: SHPO to Reclamation dated June 19, 2018



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION Mid-Pacific Regional Office 2800 Cottage Way Sacramento, CA 95825-1898

MAY 2 5 2018

MP-153 ENV-3.00

SPECIAL DELIVERY - HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Julianne Polanco State Historic Preservation Officer Office of Historic Preservation 1725 23rd Street, Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95816

Subject: National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Section 106 Consultation for the City of

Bakersfield (City) Friant-Kern Canal (FKC) Multi-Use Path License, Kern County,

California (Project #16-SCAO-123).

Dear Ms. Polanco:

The Bureau of Reclamation is initiating consultation under Title 54 USC § 306108, commonly known as Section 106 of the NHPA, and its implementing regulations found at 36 CFR Part 800, to issue a perpetual land use authorization license to the City for the construction and maintenance of a 6-mile-long Multi-Use Path along the Reclamation-owned FKC in Kern County (Figures 1a and1b in Appendix A of enclosed report). Reclamation determined that the authorization of this work on Federal lands constitutes an undertaking as defined in 36 CFR § 800.16(y) and is a type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties under 36 CFR § 800.3(a). We are entering into consultation with you on this undertaking and are requesting your concurrence on our finding of no adverse effect to historic properties, pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.5(b).

The City would construct a paved Multi-Use Path along the FKC berm and a series of crossings over and along the canal. A pedestrian bridge would be built over the FKC to connect the trail to Madison Grove Park. Three pre-fabricated metal overpass plates would be constructed along the canal over three FKC turnouts. The City would construct a culvert under the existing Burlington, Northern, and Santa Fe Railroad (BNSF) grade. A pre-fabricated metal equestrian bridge would be installed over the south end of the FKC (refer to Figure 2 in Appendix B of enclosed report). The proposed project would link missing Multi-Use Path sections of the Kern River Parkway in metropolitan Bakersfield. The project would improve recreational opportunities for City residents and encourage citizens to use bicycles instead of automobiles for travel.

The Multi-Use Path would have a 12-foot-wide asphalt pavement area with flanking 4-foot-wide dirt shoulders on each side. The asphalt path surface would be 12 inches deep. The City would

install chain link fencing along both sides of the path, employing existing fencing when possible. New fencing would require 10-inch wide holes, 3 feet deep, at 8-foot intervals. The three prefabricated overpasses would be installed over FKC canal turnouts. These metal plate overpasses would be 9 feet by 19 feet and would cover a portion of the turnouts. The proposed pedestrian Madison Grove Park Bridge is a prefabricated metal truss bridge 40 feet long and 10 feet wide, and would be secured to the canal's earthen berm with concrete abutments at an unknown depth (Figure 5 in Appendix B of enclosed report). The BNSF culvert would be diamond shaped with a 12-foot, 6-inch-wide passage that would cut through the existing railroad grade. The structure would be 30 to 35 feet long, match the existing grade height and width, and would be excavated to an unknown depth in engineered soils. The prefabricated metal arch equestrian bridge would cross the south end of the FKC in an unlined section. This structure would be 135 feet long by 12 feet wide, and would rest on the west and east banks on concrete abutments excavated to an unknown depth and width, in previously disturbed soils (Figures 17 and 18 in the enclosed report).

The horizontal area of potential effects (APE) would be approximately 6 miles long and range from 25 to 250 feet wide, for a total of 27.5 acres. The vertical APE depth would range from one to an estimated six feet deep. All equipment and materials staging would occur on the existing canal road. The proposed project is located in Sections 6-8, 17, 20, 21, 28 and 33, T. 29 S., R. 27 E., as shown on the Oildale and Gosford, California U.S. Geological Survey 7.5' Quadrangle topographic maps (Figures 1 to 1b, in enclosed report). The APE is surrounded by a mixture of light industrial parks, residential housing tracts, the Kern River Oil Field, and agricultural fields.

In an effort to identify historic properties within the APE, Reclamation used the results of a reconnaissance-level inventory of the FKC by JRP Historical Consulting, LLC (JRP), performed in 2017, and on-site images of the proposed path by the City Public Works Department. Reclamation also requested a records search in the California Historic Resources Information System Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center (File Number 18-173). Two cultural resources were identified within the APE: the FKC and the Santa Fe Railroad Minkler Spur, established in the 1920s. JRP evaluated the spur as being ineligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) in 1993 and 2009 due to a lack of physical integrity (refer to Appendix C for site form). Reclamation contractors built a siphon under the railroad grade during the FKC construction. The FKC has been previously determined as eligible for listing in the National Register in a 1997 consensus determination by the State Historic Preservation Officer and is a historic property.

An analysis of buried site sensitivity indicates that this setting has a low potential for intact subsurface archaeological sites. The construction of the FKC and the plowing of fields within, and adjacent to, the APE, has disturbed between 6 and 50 feet or more of the vertical context. Refer to Figure 10 in the survey report for an image of the FKC during construction at the APE, which shows massive, deep excavation taking place. Given the depositional characteristics of the landform and soils in the APE, ongoing impacts of agricultural development, and previous canal construction activity, there is low potential for encountering intact subsurface cultural resources in the APE. Since the APE is within the built environment of the previously disturbed canal prism and access road, Reclamation determined that no Native American consultation would be needed.

Reclamation applied the criteria of adverse effect (36 CFR § 800.5(a)) for the current undertaking and found that the proposed activities would result in no significant alterations to the historic characteristics that make this segment of the FKC eligible for the National Register. The length of the canal (152 miles) helps lessen the degree of any potential effects to the FKC from new proposed construction in the APE. The path would be sited below the canal concrete lining grade at ground level in the bulk of the 6-mile path, and would not result in a direct or indirect visual effect to the FKC. The introduction of the pedestrian and equestrian bridges would not have a direct effect on the FKC as they would be pre-fabricated and the abutments would be installed in the earthen canal berm. The structures could be easily removed and the canal berm could be returned to its pre-project configuration. The FKC is already spanned by 235 bridges in its 152-mile length; the canal would retain its integrity of feeling and association of a water conveyance system.

Based on the information summarized above and the enclosed material, Reclamation finds that the undertaking will result in no adverse effect to historic properties pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800.5(b). We invite your comments on our delineation of the APE and our efforts to identify historic properties. We request your concurrence with our finding of no adverse effect to historic properties. Please contact Mr. Lex Palmer, Architectural Historian, at 916-978-5213 or kpalmer@usbr.gov, if you have any questions concerning this project.

Sincerely,

Anastasia T. Leigh

Regional Environmental Officer

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Lisa Ann L. Mangat, Director

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100
Telephone: (916) 445-7000 FAX: (916) 445-7053
calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

June 19, 2018

Reply in Reference To: BUR_2018_0525_001

Ms. Anastasia T. Leigh
Regional Environmental Officer
Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region
2800 Cottage Way
Sacramento, CA 95825-1898

RE: Friant-Kern Canal (FKC) Multi-Use Path, Bakersfield, Kern County, California (Project #16-SCAO-123)

Dear Ms. Leigh:

The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) received your letter on May 25, 2018, initiating consultation for the above-referenced project to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (54 U.S.C. § 300101), as amended, and its implementing regulation found at 36 CFR § 800. The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is seeking my comments regarding the effects the undertaking described below will have on historic properties. Included with the consultation letter was the City of Bakersfield Phase 1 Multi-Use Trail along the Friant-Kern Canal, Kern County, California, prepared by Kevin (Lex) Palmer of Reclamation.

Reclamation is planning to issue a perpetual land use authorization to the City of Bakersfield for the construction of a paved Multi-Use Path along the FKC berm and a series of crossings over and along the canal. As described in the consultation package, the trail would extend six miles from the Kern River Channel to Seventh Standard Road that would connect to the existing Kern River Parkway. The undertaking includes a pedestrian bridge would be built over the FKC to connect the trail to Madison Grove Park. Three pre-fabricated metal overpass plates would be constructed along the canal over three FKC turnouts. The City would construct a culvert under the existing BNSF rail grade, and a pre-fabricated metal equestrian bridge would be installed over the south end of the FKC.

Reclamation delineated the Area of Potential Effect (APE) as the 6 mile long alignment, ranging from 25 feet to 250 feet wide, for a total of 27.5 acres. The vertical APE depth would be a maximum of six feet deep. All equipment and materials staging would occur on the existing canal road.

Identification efforts included the use of a 2017 reconnaissance-level inventory of the FKC by JRP Historical Consulting, LLC and a records search. Two potential historic properties were identified in the APE: the FKC and the Santa Fe Railroad Minkler Spur. The FKC was previously determined eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) in 1997. The Santa Fe Railroad Minkler Spur was evaluated in 1993 and 2009 and recommended as ineligible for listing. An analysis of buried site sensitivity indicated that the potential for intact subsurface archaeological sites in the APE is low.

Reclamation has determined that the Santa Fe Railroad Minkler Spur is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, and found that the undertaking will result in no adverse effect to historic properties. After reviewing the information submitted with your letter, I offer the following comments:

- I agree that the Area of Potential Effect (APE) as represented in the attachments to your letter is appropriate, per 36 CFR § 800.4(a)(1).
- I concur that Reclamation's identification and evaluation efforts are sufficient for this undertaking, per 36 CFR § 800.4(b).
- I agree that the Santa Fe Railroad Minkler Spur is ineligible for listing in the NRHP, per 36 CFR 800.4(c)(2).
- I concur with your finding and agree that pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.5(b), a Finding
 of No Adverse Effect is appropriate for the undertaking as described.
- Please be advised that under certain circumstances, such as an unanticipated discovery or a change in project description, Reclamation may have future responsibilities for this undertaking under 36 CFR § 800.

Thank you for seeking my comments and considering historic properties as part of your project planning. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Kathleen Forrest, Historian, at (916) 445-7022 or Kathleen.Forrest@parks.ca.gov.

Sincerely.

Julianne Polanco

State Historic Preservation Officer

Attachment B: Comment Letter



McDonald, SHAUNA <smcdonald@usbr.gov>

Fwd: [EXTERNAL] EA/FONSI - License for Bakersfield Multi-Use Trail along the Friant-Kern Canal

1 message

Mon, Jul 16, 2018

To: Connor, Mary <mconnor@usbr.gov>

Dear Ms. Connor,

The Friant Water Authority, which is the operating non-federal entity of the Friant-Kern Canal, has reviewed the referenced NEPA documents for this project. We have no comments on the substance of the environmental findings and determination in the EA/FONSI, but as a signatory to the proposed license, would request that the description of the project be revised as noted below to more accurately reflect the proposed terms of the proposed license (draft attached), which is for an initial term of 25-years only, and is also subject to revocation for any of the grounds stated in the license. As such, we believe a more accurate description of the document would be "revocable license" instead of "perpetual land use authorization." The places where such changes would be appropriate are as follows:

Environmental Assessment:

2.2 Proposed Action (p.3)

Reclamation proposes to issue a perpetual land use authorization to the City for the construction and maintenance of a 6mile long multi-use path along the Friant-Kern Canal that would connect to the Parkway Trail.

This statement is not consistent with the draft license agreement review by FWA. We suggest the following revisions to the EA and FONSI:

Reclamation proposes to issue a revocable license perpetual land use authorization to the City for the construction and maintenance of a 6-mile long multi-use path along the Friant-Kern Canal that would connect to the Parkway Trail.

FONSI (p.1)

Alternatives Considered

No Action

Under the No Action Alternative, Reclamation would not issue a revocable license perpetual land use authorization to the City, which would allow for the construction and maintenance of a 6-mile long multiuse path. The Kern River Multi-Use Path would not be constructed nor would it create a North South connection to the Parkway Trail.

Proposed Action

Reclamation proposes to issue a revocable license perpetual land use authorization to the City for the construction and maintenance of a 6-mile long Multi-Use Path along the Friant-Kern Canal that would connect to the Parkway Trail. The Project would be constructed over 3 phases as described in Section 2.2 of EA-15-061.

Per my voice message today, I would be glad to discuss this comment with you further at your convenience.

Regards,

Donald M. Davis | General Counsel for the Friant Water Authority 444 South Flower Street, Suite 2400 | Los Angeles, CA 90071-2953 d - 213.236.2702 | t - 213.236.0600 | f - 213.236.2700 ddavis@bwslaw.com | vCard | bwslaw.com



The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the CONFIDENTIAL use of the designated addressee named above. The information transmitted is subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or represents confidential attorney work product. Recipients should not file copies of this email with publicly accessible records. If you are not the designated addressee named above or the authorized agent responsible for delivering it to the designated addressee, you received this document through inadvertent error and any further review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication by you or anyone else is strictly prohibited. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONING THE SENDER NAMED.

Kate Connor Natural Resource Specialist Bureau of Reclamation South-Central California Area Office 1243 "N" Street Fresno, Ca 93721 (559) 262-0337



Environmental Commitment Program

Title: License for the City of Bakersfield Bike Trail Along the Friant-Kern Canal	
Project Number: 15-061	[Proponent] Point of Contact:
Anticipated Start Date: July 2020	Chris Gerry
Approximate Duration: 30 days	
Project Lead: Stacy Brown sbrown@usbr.gov (559) 487-0307	cgerry@bakersfieldcity.us
Natural Resource Specialist: Kate Connor mconnor@usbr.gov (559)-262-0337	661-326-3753
Wildlife Riologist: Lisa Buck Ibuck@ushr.gov (559) 487-0345	

	Summary of Environmental	Timeframe for Implementation	Verification Interval	Final Verification Date	Proponent Verification of Compliance	
Resource	Commitments				Initials	Date
Biological Resources	In order to avoid impacts to nesting Swainson's hawks, construction activities shall occur, when possible, outside of the nesting season (defined as March 1-September 15).	Duration of the project	Throughout the project			
Biological Resources	If construction activities must occur between March 1 and September 15, a qualified biologist shall conduct nest surveys for Swainson's hawks on and within a ½ mile of the Proposed Action Area in accordance with the Recommended Timing and Methodology for Swainson's Hawk Nesting Surveys in California's Central Valley (SHTAC, 2000). Three nest surveys shall be conducted in each of two survey periods, with the survey periods defined as follows: Period I – January 1 to March 20, Period II – March 20 to April 5, Period III – April 5 to April 20, Period IV – April 21 to June 10, and Period V – June 10 to July 30. Surveys shall take place in the two survey periods immediately prior to the start of construction, with the exception of Period III, when no surveys should take place per the SHTAC 2000 guidelines. The surveys shall consist of inspecting all accessible, suitable trees in the survey area for the presence of nests and hawks.	Duration of the project	Throughout the project			
Biological Resources	If any active Swainson's hawk nests are discovered within the survey area, an appropriate disturbance-free buffer shall be established based on local conditions and Service guidelines. Disturbance-free	Duration of the project	Throughout the project			



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation South-Central California Area Office **Environmental Commitment Program**

	buffers shall be identified on the ground with flagging, fencing, or by other easily visible means, and shall be maintained until a qualified biologist has determined that the young have fledged and are capable of foraging independently. Before the start of any ground-disturbing				
Biological Resources	activities associated with the Proposed Action, a qualified biologist shall conduct focused surveys for burrowing owls in areas of suitable habitat on and within 250 feet of the project footprint. A letter report documenting survey methods and findings shall be submitted to Reclamation at least 5 days before the start of construction on the Proposed Action.	Prior to construction	Once		
Biological Resources	If occupied burrowing owl burrows are found, Reclamation shall be notified and work on the Proposed Action shall not begin until a qualified biologist has established a non-disturbance buffer of 160 feet during the non-breeding season (September 1 through January 31) or 250 feet during the breeding season (February 1 through August 31). The biologist shall remain on-site during construction to monitor the burrow, and may stop construction at any time to avoid impacts to the owls. The buffer shall remain in place until the biologist determines the nest is no longer active.	Duration of the project	Throughout the project		
Biological Resources	The proponent shall comply with all of the requirements of the Metropolitan Bakersfield Habitat Conservation Plan and the associated Section 10(a)(1)(B) Incidental Take Permit PRT-786634, including implementation of conservation measures, reasonable and prudent measures, and terms and conditions.	Duration of the project	Throughout the project		
Water Resources	They City shall obtain and comply with all measures in the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System General Permit, develop a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, and implement Best Management Practices.	Duration of the project	Throughout the project		



Environmental Commitment Program

Funding: The proponent is responsible for all direct costs to implement, monitor and evaluate the environmental commitments described in the table. The proponent is also responsible for the costs incurred by Reclamation staff to monitor and evaluate the environmental commitments.



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation South-Central California Area Office

Exhibit D2 Mitigated Negative Declaration

License for Bakersfield Multi-Use Trail along the Friant-Kern Canal

Summary

SCH Number 2021100154

Lead Agency City of Bakersfield

Document Title License for Bakersfield Multi-Use Trail along the Friant-Kern Canal

Document Type MND - Mitigated Negative Declaration

Received 10/11/2021

Document Description The City of Bakersfield is proposing to construct and maintain a 6-mile-long multi-use

trail along the Friant-Kern Canal, from the Kern River Channel to 7th Standard Road. The trail would generally run in a north-south orientation. The trail would be used for alternative transportation and recreation as well as serve as interconnection to community parks, schools, centers, retail, and the Kern River Parkway. The trail would increase pedestrian and bicyclist safety, promote active modes of transportation, and re-

duce traffic congestion.

Contact Information

Name Erica Hong

Agency Name City of Bakersfield, Associate Planner II

Contact Types Lead/Public Agency

Address 1715 Chester Avenue

Bakersfield, CA 93301

Phone (661) 326-3733

Email ehong@bakersfieldcity.us

Location

Cities Bakersfield

Counties Kern

Regions Citywide

Zip 93301

Notice of Completion

State Review Period

10/11/2021

State Review Period End

11/9/2021

State Reviewing

Agencies

California Air Resources Board (ARB), California Department of Conservation (DOC), California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Central Region 4 (CDFW), California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE), California Department of Parks and Recreation, California Department of Transportation, District 6 (DOT), California Department of Water Resources (DWR), California Highway Patrol (CHP), California Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), California Natural Resources Agency, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Fresno Region 5 (RWQCB), California State Lands Commission (SLC), Central Valley Flood Protection Board, Office of Historic Preservation, State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Quality, State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Rights

Development Types

Recreational (Multi-use trail)

Local Actions

Multi-Use Trail License

NOPH PDF 126K

Project Issues

Aesthetics, Agriculture and Forestry Resources, Air Quality, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Drainage/Absorption, Flood Plain/Flooding, Geology/Soils, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Hazards & Hazardous Materials, Land Use/Planning, Mandatory Findings of Significance, Noise, Population/Housing, Public Services, Septic System, Sewer Capacity, Solid Waste, Transportation

Attachments

Draft Environmental Document [Draft IS, NOI_NOA_Public notices, OPR Summary Form, Appx,]

2021 Friant Kern Multi-Use Trail Summary Form PDF 191 K

2021 IS PDF 659 K

Notice of Completion

[NOC] Transmittal form

2021 Friant Kern Multi-Use Trail Notice of Completion (NOC) PDF 326 K

Disclaimer: The Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) accepts no responsibility for the content or accessibility of these documents. To obtain an attachment in a different format, please contact the lead agency at the contact information listed above. You may also contact the OPR via email at state.clearing.house@opr.ca.gov or via phone at (916) 445-0613. For more information, please visit OPR's Accessibility Site.

Exhibit D3 NEPA/CEQA Re-Validation



NEPA/CEQA RE-VALIDATION FORM

DIST-CO-RTE : 06-KER-City of Bakersfield				
PM/PM:				
EA or Fed-Aid Project No.: ATPCML-5109(272)				
Other Project No. (specify):				
Project Title: Friant Kern Canal Multi-Use Trail				
Environmental Approval Type: EA (prepared by the Bureau of Reclamation)				
Date Approved: 7/18/2018				
Reason for Consultation (23 CFR 771.129), check one:				
□ Project proceeding to next major federal approval				
☐ Change in scope, setting, effects, mitigation measures, requirements				
☐ 3-year timeline (EIS only)				
□ N/A (Re-Validation for CEQA only)				
Description of Changed Conditions: Caltrans has reviewed and accepted the EA/FONSI as prepared by the NEPA lead agency, the Bureau of Reclamation. The document sufficiently addresses any potential impacts within the entire project footprint. No additional analysis is warranted.				
NEPA CONCLUSION - VALIDITY				
Based on an examination of the changed conditions and supporting information: (Check ONE of the three statements below, regarding the validity of the original document/determination (23 CFR 771.129). If document is no longer valid, indicate whether additional public review is warranted and whether the type of environmental document will be elevated.)				
 ☑ The original environmental document or CE remains valid. No further documentation will be prepared. ☐ The original environmental document or CE is in need of updating; further documentation has been prepared and ☐ is included on the continuation sheet(s) or ☐ is attached. With this additional documentation, the original ED or CE remains valid. Additional public review is warranted (23 CFR 771.111(h)(3)) ☐ Yes ☐ No The original document or CE is no longer valid. Additional public review is warranted (23 CFR 771.111(h)(3)) ☐ Yes ☐ No Supplemental environmental document is needed. ☐ Yes ☐ No New environmental document is needed. ☐ Yes ☐ No (If "Yes," specify type:) 				
CONCURRENCE WITH NEPA CONCLUSION I concur with the NEPA conclusion above.				
Share Gum	3/16/2022			
Signature: Environmental Branch Chief	Date			
- Quik	3/16/2022			
Signature: Project Manager/DLAE	Date			

Revised May 2020 Page 1 of 1

Exhibit E Environmental and Social Justice Statement

Exhibit E

Environmental and Social Justice Statement

COMMENT OF THE CITY OF BAKERSFIELD REGARDING THE CPUC ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL JUSTICE ACTION PLAN

The City of Bakersfield (City) submits this comment regarding the California Public Utilities Commission's (CPUC) Environmental and Social Justice Action Plan relative to the City's Application to construct a new public grade separation, the Bakersfield Friant-Kern Pedestrian Overpass, over the existing tracks of the BNSF Railway, Bakersfield Subdivision line, in the City of Bakersfield.

As background, the Application is an implementation item of the Bakersfield Multi-Use Trail along the Friant-Kern Canal (Project). The Project involves the construction of a paved 6-mile-long multi-use trail adjacent to the Friant-Kern Canal and within the right-of-way of the United States Bureau of Reclamation (BOR). The trail would extend north from the Kern River Channel to Seventh Standard Road and, upon completion, would increase pedestrian and bicyclist safety, promote active modes of transportation, and reduce traffic congestion on local roadways. The trail would be 12 feet wide, constructed of asphalt concrete pavement, and include 4-foot-wide dirt shoulders. A chain-linked fence would be installed on both sides of the trail where existing fencing does not exist. Appropriate striping, pavement markings, and associated signage would be installed along the length of the trail. Part of the Project includes the construction of a grade separation over existing BNSF tracks which is the subject of the Application.

As summarized below, both the Application and the Project are consistent with the CPUC's Action Plan and vision to advance equity in its programs and policies for Environmental Justice and Social Justice (ESJ) Communities.

Goal 1: Consistently integrate equity and access considerations throughout CPUC regulatory activities.

The Application and Project are consistent with and advanced this goal. The Project's planning and environmental review process included extensive public and agency outreach. In accordance with section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, BOR has prepared the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) which is supported by BOR's Environmental Assessment (EA)-15-061, License for Bakersfield Multi-Use Trail along the Friant-Kern Canal. Caltrans has reviewed and accepted the EA/FONSI prepared by BOR. The City's Planning Department conducted an initial study, and it was determined that the Project would not, with implementation of mitigation, have a significant effect on the environment; therefore, a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) was prepared in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). All required public notices were published in the local newspaper of general circulation, 20 days prior to the hearing. The MND was presented to the Bakersfield Planning Commission and was adopted on November 10, 2021.

Goal 2: Increase investment in clean energy resources to benefit ESJ communities, especially to improve local air quality and public health.

The Application and Project are consistent with and advance this goal. The multiuse trail would be used for alternative transportation and recreation by pedestrians and cyclists. The non-motorized uses of the trail would help improve local air quality and public health.

Goal 3: Strive to improve access to high-quality water, communications, and transportation services for ESJ communities.

The Application and Project are consistent with and advance this goal. The alignment of the Project would extend through residential and commercial areas served by public transportation services. The Project would create multimodal options to encourage pedestrian- and bicycle-oriented access and connectivity to community parks, neighborhoods and schools, and retail centers.

Goal 4: Increase climate resiliency in ESJ communities.

The Application and Project are consistent with and advance this goal. The Project would provide sustainability and climate resiliency by offering transportation efficiencies. The Project also offers emissions reduction due to its location in a prime urban infill setting with access to public transportation, recreational facilities, and retail centers.

Goal 5: Enhance outreach and public participation opportunities for ESJ communities to meaningfully participate in the CPUC's decision-making process and benefit from CPUC programs.

The Application and project are consistent with and advance this goal. Please see the response to Goal 1, above.

Goal 6: Enhance enforcement to ensure safety and consumer protection for all, especially for ESJ communities.

The Application and Project are consistent with and do not inhibit this goal. The Project alignment will be patrolled by the local police officers on bicycles to enhance the public safety of trail users.

Goal 7: Promote high road career paths and economic opportunity for

residents of ESJ communities.

The Application and Project are consistent with and advance this goal. The Project

provides major connectivity separate from the vehicular routes between residential and

commercial areas. The project will create a positive economic impact on the community

and surrounding area by increasing employment opportunities and sales tax revenues.

Goal 8: Improve training and staff development related to environmental and

social justice within the CPUC's jurisdiction.

The Application and Project are consistent with and do not inhibit this goal.

Goal 9: Monitor the CPUC's environmental and social justice efforts to

evaluate how they are achieving their objectives.

The Application and Project are consistent with and do not inhibit this goal.

Respectfully submitted,

GREGG STRAKALUSE

GREGG STRAKALUSE

Public Works Director

City of Bakersfield

1501 Truxtun Avenue

Bakersfield, CA 93301

Email: gstrakaluse@bakersfieldcity.us

4

Exhibit F Scoping Memo

Exhibit F

Scoping Memo

Scoping Memo Information for Applications

A. Category (Check the category that is most appropriate)
Adjudicatory - "Adjudicatory" proceedings are: (1) enforcement investigations into possible violations of any provision of statutory law or order or rule of the Commission; and (2) complaints against regulated entities, including those complaints that challenge the accuracy of a bill, but excluding those complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future, such as formal rough crossing complaints (maximum 12 month process if hearings are required).
Ratesetting - "Ratesetting" proceedings are proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities). "Ratesetting" proceedings include complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future. Other proceedings may also be categorized as ratesetting when they do not clearly fit into one category, such as railroad crossing applications (maximum 18 month process if hearings are required).
Quasi-legislative - "Quasi-legislative" proceedings are proceedings that establish policy or rules (including generic ratemaking policy or rules) affecting a class of regulated entities, including those proceedings in which the Commission investigates rates or practices for an entire regulated industry or class of entities within the industry.
B. Are hearings necessary? If yes, identify the material disputed factual issues on which hearings should be held, and the general nature of the evidence to be introduced. Railroad crossing applications which are not controversial usually do not require hearings.

Are	public witness h	earings necessary?	
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
public and involves p	d any entity that v resenting written	vill not be a party to the pr	getting input from the general roceeding. Such input usually presiding officer, not sworn to cross-examination.
C. Issues	s - List here the sp	pecific issues that need to	be addressed in the proceeding.

D. Schedule (Even if you checked "No" in B above) Should the Commission decide to hold hearings, indicate here the proposed schedule for completing the proceeding within 12 months (if categorized as adjudicatory) or 18 months (if categorized as ratesetting or quasi-legislative).

The schedule should include proposed dates for the following events as needed:

```
30 days - Protest Period . . . <u>November 2022 through December 2022</u>
4 months - Proposed Decision . . . <u>March 2023</u>
6 months - Final Decision . . . <u>May 2023</u>
```

IF HEARING UNEXPECTEDLY BECOMES NECESSARY:

```
6 months - Prehearing conference . . . <u>May 2023</u>
9 months - Hearings . . . <u>August 2023</u>
12 months - Briefs due . . . <u>November 2023</u>
13 months - Submission . . . <u>December 2023</u>
16 months - Proposed decision (90 days after submission) . . . <u>March 2024</u>
18 months - Final decision (60 days after proposed decision is mailed) . . . <u>May 2024</u>
```

Exhibit G Verification

Exhibit G

Verification

I am an employee of the City of Bakersfield, Applicant herein, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The contents of this document are true of my own knowledge, except as matters that are stated on information or belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Dated this 3/ day of October, 2022 at Bakersfield, California

Signed

Gregg Strakaluse Public Works Director City of Bakersfield 1501 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

Telephone: (661) 326-3700

Email: gstrakaluse@bakersfieldcity.us